



# A counterexample to Wood's conjecture

F. Rambla

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz,  
apdo. 40, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain*

Received 29 September 2004

Available online 2 September 2005

Submitted by B. Sims

---

## Abstract

We prove that if the one-point compactification of a locally compact, noncompact Hausdorff space  $L$  is the topological space called pseudoarc, then  $C_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  is almost transitive. We also obtain two necessary conditions on a metrizable locally compact Hausdorff space  $L$  for  $C_0(L)$  being almost transitive.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Almost transitivity; Pseudoarc;  $C(K)$  spaces

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We denote by  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  the group of all surjective linear isometries on  $X$ . It is said that  $X$  is *transitive* if for every  $x, y \in S_X$  there exists  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $Tx = y$ . It is said that  $X$  is *almost transitive* if for every  $x, y \in S_X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $\|Tx - y\| < \varepsilon$ .

If  $L$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $C_0(L, \mathbb{K})$  will be the space of continuous functions from  $L$  into  $\mathbb{K}$  which vanishes at infinity. We consider it as a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  with the supremum norm; if we treat results that do not depend on the scalar field, we shall simply write  $C_0(L)$ .  $\hat{L}$  is the one-point compactification of  $L$ , and we assume  $L \subset \hat{L}$ . If  $L$  is not compact, the only element of  $\hat{L} \setminus L$  is represented by the symbol  $\infty$ . If  $f \in C_0(L)$ ,  $\hat{f}$  is its only continuous extension to  $\hat{L}$ . Likewise, if  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  is a homeomorphism, we

---

*E-mail address:* [fernando.rambla@uca.es](mailto:fernando.rambla@uca.es).

denote by  $\hat{\sigma}$  the extension of  $\sigma$  to  $\hat{L}$  given by  $\hat{\sigma}(\infty) = \infty$ . It is easy to see  $\hat{\sigma}$  is also a homeomorphism.

Wood's conjecture, which first appeared in [14], is the following statement:

**Conjecture 1.1.** *If  $L$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space with more than one point, then  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  is not almost transitive.*

Henceforth,  $L$  will be a locally compact Hausdorff space with more than one point. It is known that the conjecture is true in the real case [7], and the next proposition summarizes some of the most important results on the general case.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists  $L$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{K})$  is almost transitive.*
- (2) *There exists  $L$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{K})$  is almost transitive and  $\hat{L}$  is metrizable.*
- (3) *There exists  $L$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{K})$  is transitive.*

The proof of  $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$  is in [3], and that of  $1 \Leftrightarrow 3$  is in [7].

Following the terminology of [4] and [5], which is inspired in [7], we say that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  is *almost positive transitive* if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0(L)$  with  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $f(L) \cup g(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $T \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_0(L))$  such that  $\|Tf - g\| < \varepsilon$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  admits *almost polar decompositions* if for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(L)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_0(L))$  such that  $\|T(|f|) - f\| < \varepsilon$ , where  $|f|(t) = |f(t)|$ .

Of course,  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  is almost transitive if and only if it is almost positive transitive and admits almost polar decompositions. We also should take into account that if  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  is almost positive transitive, the isometry  $T$  mentioned in the definition can be chosen in the form  $Th = h \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  is a homeomorphism. This fact is an easy consequence of Banach–Stone theorem.

In both [7] and [14] it is observed that if  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$  is almost transitive then  $L$  cannot be compact and  $\hat{L}$  must be connected. These results remain valid for the weaker property of almost positive transitivity.

A generalization of Wood's conjecture was raised in [1]: Is every almost transitive  $JB^*$ -triple a Hilbert space? As in Proposition 1.2, here the word “almost” can be dropped from the question without changing the answer. This was proved also in [1].

Recently, Lusky [11] proved that if a separable complex Banach space  $X$  is (isometrically) the predual of an  $L_1$ -space then it is contractively complemented in a  $C^*$ -algebra. In particular, such  $X$  will be a  $JB^*$ -triple and therefore every separable complex almost transitive  $L_1$ -predual is a non-Hilbertian  $JB^*$ -triple; examples of this are the complex Gurarii space [8] and the complex  $M$ -space constructed in [3], thus both examples answer in the negative the aforementioned question in [1].

## 2. Necessary conditions and continua theory

Concerning the basic facts about continua, the author followed the book [12], although there are many specialized texts on the subject.

A *continuum* (in the plural, *continua*) is a compact connected topological space. If  $K$  is a continuum, it is said that  $K$  is *indecomposable* if there do not exist  $A, B$  proper subcontinua of  $K$  such that  $K = A \cup B$ . Equivalently, every proper subcontinuum of  $K$  has empty interior in  $K$ . Finally, it is said that a continuum  $K$  is *hereditarily indecomposable* if every subcontinuum of  $K$  is indecomposable (including  $K$  itself).

The intersection of a countable decreasing family of continua is a continuum. If  $K$  is a continuum, for every  $x \in K$  we define the *composant* of  $x$  as  $\bigcup\{C \subset K: C \text{ is a proper subcontinuum of } K \text{ and } x \in C\}$ . It is well known that if  $K$  is an indecomposable metrizable continuum, then every composant is dense in  $K$  and the set of all the composants forms an uncountable partition of  $K$ .

The following lemma will be useful in what follows, yet it is not strictly necessary.

**Lemma 2.1.** [12] *If  $K$  is a Hausdorff continuum,  $x \in K$  and there exists a continuum  $D \subsetneq K$  with  $\text{int}(D) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in \text{ext}(D)$ , then there exists a continuum  $C \subsetneq K$  with  $x \in \text{int}(C)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $K \setminus D$  is connected, it is enough to take  $C = \overline{K \setminus D}$ , then  $C \neq K$  since  $\text{int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $x \in K \setminus D \subset \text{int}(\overline{K \setminus D}) = \text{int}(C)$ .

If  $K \setminus D$  is not connected, then  $K \setminus D = U \cup V$  with  $U$  and  $V$  open disjoint sets and  $x \in U$ . Consider  $C = D \cup U$ , we have that  $x \in \text{int}(C)$ . Also,  $C = K \setminus V$ , so  $C$  is compact. If  $C$  is not connected, there exist  $A$  and  $B$  compact disjoint nonempty sets with  $A \cup B = D \cup U$ . Therefore,  $A \cap D$  and  $B \cap D$  are closed sets which partition  $D$ , and as  $D$  is connected one of them must be empty. Suppose for instance that  $A \cap D = \emptyset$ , then  $A = U \cap (K \setminus B)$  and therefore  $A$  is a compact open proper subset of  $K$ , which yields to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a metric space,  $F$  a closed proper subset of  $T$  with non-empty interior,  $A \subset \text{int}(F)$  and  $B \subset \text{ext}(F)$  nonempty sets such that  $\min\{d(A, \text{ext}(F)), d(B, \text{int}(F))\} > 0$ . In this situation, given  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists a continuous function  $f: T \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $f(A) = 0$ ,  $f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) = F$  and  $f(B) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Take

$$f(x) = \min \left\{ 1, \max \left\{ 0, \varepsilon + \frac{d(x, \text{int}(F)) - d(x, \text{ext}(F))}{\min\{d(A, \text{ext}(F)), d(B, \text{int}(F))\}} \right\} \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $f$  has the required properties.  $\square$

Next we present the theorem which was the guide to the counterexample. The necessary conditions that we obtain for a space to be almost positive transitive are quite restrictive.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\hat{L}$  is metrizable and  $C_0(L)$  is almost positive transitive, then:*

- (1) *Given  $C$  and  $K$  subcontinua of  $\hat{L}$  with  $C \subsetneq K \subset \hat{L}$  and  $\infty \notin \text{fr}^K C$ , we have  $\text{int}^K C = \emptyset$ . In particular, every subcontinuum of  $L$  is hereditarily indecomposable.*

(2) Given  $G_1, G_2$  open subsets of  $L$  with  $\infty \notin \overline{G_1 \cup G_2}$  and  $H \subset G_2$  with  $d(H, \hat{L} \setminus G_2) > 0$ , there exists  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  homeomorphism such that  $H \subset \sigma(G_1) \subset G_2$ . In particular,  $L$  is almost homogeneous (i.e., given  $x, y \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\tau : L \rightarrow L$  homeomorphism with  $d(\tau(x), y) < \varepsilon$ ).

**Proof.** (1) Let  $C$  and  $K$  be continua of  $\hat{L}$  with  $C \subsetneq K \subset \hat{L}$  and  $\infty \notin \text{fr}^K C$ , and suppose that  $\text{int}^K C \neq \emptyset$ . Due to Lemma 2.1, we can suppose that  $\infty \notin K$  or  $\infty \in \text{int}^K C$ . In both cases, Lemma 2.2 allows us to obtain a continuous surjective function  $F : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $F^{-1}([0, 3/5]) = C$  and  $F$  has a continuous extension  $f$  to  $\hat{L}$  such that  $f|_L \in \mathcal{C}_0(L)$  with  $\|f|_L\| = 1$ .

Besides, let  $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous function defined by  $G(1) = 1, G(1/2) = 2/5, G(1/3) = 4/5, G(0) = 0$  and  $G$  is linear in the intervals  $(0, 1/3), (1/3, 1/2)$  and  $(1/2, 1)$ . We can suppose  $\max\{d(x, \infty) : x \in \hat{L}\} = 1$ . Let  $g : \hat{L} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $g(x) = G(d(x, \infty))$ . We have  $g|_L \in \mathcal{C}_0(L)$  and  $\|g|_L\| = 1$ .

By the almost positive transitivity of  $\mathcal{C}_0(L)$ , there exists  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  homeomorphism such that  $|f(t) - g(\sigma(t))| < 1/5$  for every  $t \in L$ . Choose  $t_1 \in K$  such that  $f(t_1) = 1$ , then  $g(\sigma(t_1)) > 4/5$  and this implies  $d(\sigma(t_1), \infty) > 1/2$ . Choose  $t_2 \in K$  such that  $f(t_2) = 1/5$ , then  $g(\sigma(t_2)) < 2/5$  and this implies  $d(\sigma(t_2), \infty) < 1/3$ . As  $\hat{\sigma}(K)$  is connected and  $\{\sigma(t_1), \sigma(t_2)\} \subset \hat{\sigma}(K)$ , there exists  $t_3 \in K$  such that  $d(\sigma(t_3), \infty) = 1/2$ . Then  $g(\sigma(t_3)) = 2/5$ , which implies  $t_3 \in C$ . As  $\hat{\sigma}(C)$  is connected and  $\{\sigma(t_2), \sigma(t_3)\} \subset \hat{\sigma}(C)$ , there exists  $t_4 \in C$  such that  $d(\sigma(t_4), \infty) = 1/3$ . This implies  $g(\sigma(t_4)) = 4/5$  and  $f(t_4) > 3/5$ , which leads to a contradiction.

(2) By using Lemma 2.2 twice, we can construct continuous surjective functions  $f, g : \hat{L} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f^{-1}([0, 2/3]) = \hat{L} \setminus G_1, f(\infty) = 0, g^{-1}([0, 1/3]) = \hat{L} \setminus G_2, g(\infty) = 0$  and  $g(H) = 1$ . Let  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  be a homeomorphism such that  $|f(t) - g(\sigma(t))| < 1/3$  for every  $t \in L$ . If  $t \in G_1$  then  $f(t) > 2/3$  and  $g(\sigma(t)) > 1/3$ , therefore  $\sigma(t) \in G_2$ . If  $t \in H$  then  $g(t) = 1$  and  $f(\sigma^{-1}(t)) > 2/3$ , which implies  $\sigma^{-1}(t) \in G_1$ , i.e.,  $t \in \sigma(G_1)$ .  $\square$

The most famous example of hereditarily indecomposable continuum is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  called *pseudarc*. Actually, the construction can be done in a great family of metric spaces, but we shall work in the plane to give a small support to the intuition. We introduce first some concepts we shall need.

A *chain* is an  $n$ -uple  $D = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  of open bounded sets such that  $d_i \cap d_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$ . Every  $d_i$  is called *link*, and we denote  $\#D = n, \text{mesh}(D) = \max\{\text{diam}(d_i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \#D\}\}$  and  $D^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\#D} d_i$ . If  $a \in d_1$  and  $b \in d_{\#D}$ , we say that  $D$  is a chain from  $a$  to  $b$ . If we work with a sequence of chains  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the links of the chain  $D_q$  are denoted  $d(q)_1, d(q)_2, \dots, d(q)_{\#D_q}$ .

Given two chains  $D$  and  $E$ , it is said that  $D$  is *contained* in  $E$  if every link of  $D$  is included in a link of  $E$ . It is said that  $E$  is a *consolidation* of  $D$  if  $D$  is contained in  $E$  and every link of  $E$  is union of links of  $D$ . It is said that  $D$  is *crooked* in  $E$  if  $D$  is contained in  $E$  and for every  $e_h, e_k$  links of  $E$  with  $|h - k| > 2$  and  $d_i, d_j$  links of  $D$  with  $i < j$  and such that  $d_i \subset e_h$  and  $d_j \subset e_k$ , there exist  $r, s$  with  $i < r < s < j$  such that  $d_r$  is contained in  $e_{k-1}$  or in  $e_{k+1}$  and  $d_s$  is contained in  $e_{h-1}$  or in  $e_{h+1}$ .

Given  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , a map  $N : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$  is a *pattern* if  $|N(i + 1) - N(i)| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r - 1\}$ . It is said that a chain  $D$  follows the pattern  $N$  in a chain  $E$  if  $r = \#D, s = \#E$  and for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  we have  $d_i \subset e_{N(i)}$ .

Let us construct now the pseudoarc. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be different points, and  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of chains from  $a$  to  $b$  with  $(\text{mesh}(D_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergent to zero, and such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the links of  $D_n$  are connected, the chain  $D_{n+1}$  is crooked in  $D_n$ , and every link of  $D_{n+1}$  has its closure included in a link of  $D_n$ . Our space is the continuum  $P = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n^*$ .

In [2] it is proved that all the spaces which follow the construction above are homeomorphic, and that  $P$  is hereditarily indecomposable and homogeneous. The author of that article also proves there the four following results.

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $D, E$  and  $F$  are chains such that  $E$  contains  $D$  and  $E$  is crooked in  $F$ , then  $D$  is crooked in  $F$ .*

By the previous theorem, if  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of natural numbers then  $P = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{a_n}^*$  and the chain  $D_{a_{n+1}}$  is crooked in  $D_{a_n}$ , being  $D_n$  as above. This fact will be used without further reference to it.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $D, E$  and  $F$  are chains such that  $F$  is a consolidation of  $E$  and  $D$  is crooked in  $E$ , then  $D$  is crooked in  $F$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $N : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$  be a pattern with  $N(1) = 1$  and  $N(r) = s$ , and  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of chains from the point  $a$  to the point  $b$ , such that  $\#D_1 = s$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the chain  $D_{n+1}$  is crooked in  $D_n$ , every link of  $D_{n+1}$  has its closure included in a link of  $D_n$ , and  $\text{mesh}(D_n) \leq 1/n$ . Then there exist  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a chain  $E$  from  $a$  to  $b$  such that  $E$  is a consolidation of  $D_j$  and follows the pattern  $N$  in  $D_1$ .*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $a_i, b_i, c_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be points of  $P$  such that  $a_i$  and  $c_i$  belong to the same component of  $P$  and  $b_i$  belongs to a different component. Then there exists a homeomorphism  $H : P \rightarrow P$  which maps  $a_1$  to  $a_2, b_1$  to  $b_2$  and  $c_1$  to  $c_2$ .*

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are points of  $P$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a homeomorphism  $H : P \rightarrow P$  such that  $H(a_1) = a_2$  and  $\|H(b_1) - b_2\| < \varepsilon$ .*

**Proof.** Just consider the previous theorem and that every component is dense in  $P$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a completely regular topological space, we use  $\dim \mathcal{T}$  to denote its covering dimension (see [6], for instance). It is known that if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has empty interior then  $\dim A \leq n - 1$ . Therefore,  $\dim P \leq 1$  (actually, it is equal to 1, but we do not need this fact).

The interested reader can find a thorough survey of the pseudoarc in [10].

### 3. The counterexample

The following statement is easily deduced from the results in [13, pp. 42, 44 and 61–64].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a normal topological space with  $\dim \mathcal{T} \leq 1$ . If  $F \subset \mathcal{T}$  is closed and  $f : F \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  is continuous, there exists  $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  continuous extension of  $f$ .*

The next theorem appears as an observation, in the case of  $\hat{L}$  being metrizable, in [5, p. 315]. The proof given here is slightly different and we include it for completeness.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $L$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space with  $\dim \hat{L} \leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  admits almost polar decompositions.*

**Proof.** Take  $g \in S_{\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$ . The set  $K = \{t \in L : |g(t)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}$  is compact and nonempty. Consider  $f : K \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  given by  $f(t) = \frac{g(t)}{|g(t)|}$ , by Theorem 3.1 there exists  $\tilde{f} : \hat{L} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  continuous extension of  $f$ . We define  $T : \mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  by  $Th(t) = \tilde{f}(t)h(t)$ ,  $T$  is a surjective linear isometry and we have that if  $t \in K$  then  $|T(|g|)(t) - g(t)| = |f(t)|g(t) - g(t) = 0$ , and if  $t \notin K$  then  $|T(|g|)(t) - g(t)| \leq 2|g(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\|T(|g|) - g\| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

In particular, if  $\hat{L}$  is the pseudoarc then  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  admits almost polar decompositions.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be different points, and  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of chains from  $a$  to  $b$  such that  $(\text{mesh}(D_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to zero and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the chain  $D_{n+1}$  is contained in  $D_n$ . Given  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and a continuous function  $g : \overline{D}_1^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $g(a) = 0$  and  $g(b) > 1 - 1/\#D_i$ , there exist  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N : \{1, \dots, \#D_q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \#D_i\}$  which verify:*

- (1)  $N$  is a pattern with  $N(1) = 1$  and  $N(\#D_q) = \#D_i$ .
- (2) For every  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, \#D_q\}$ ,  $d(q)_r \subset g^{-1}(\frac{N(r)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(r)}{\#D_i}]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that if  $\|x - y\| < \delta$  then  $|g(x) - g(y)| < 1/\#D_i$ . Take  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\text{mesh}(D_q) < \delta$ , for each  $r \in \{1, \dots, \#D_q\}$  there exist  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, \#D_i\}$  verifying  $d(q)_r \subset g^{-1}[\alpha, \alpha + \frac{1}{\#D_i}] \subset g^{-1}(\frac{k-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{k}{\#D_i}]$ .

Let us construct  $N$  inductively. Define  $N(1) = 1$ . As  $a \in d(q)_1$ , we can assure that  $d(q)_1 \subset g^{-1}(\frac{-1}{\#D_i}, \frac{1}{\#D_i}]$ . Let  $r \in \{1, \dots, \#D_q - 1\}$  be such that  $N(1), N(2), \dots, N(r)$  have already been defined, verifying that  $N : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \#D_i\}$  is a pattern and  $d(q)_r \subset g^{-1}(\frac{N(r)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(r)}{\#D_i}]$ . Choose  $k \in \{1, \dots, \#D_i\}$  such that  $d(q)_{r+1} \subset g^{-1}(\frac{k-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{k}{\#D_i}]$ . As  $d(q)_r \cap d(q)_{r+1} \neq \emptyset$ , necessarily  $|N(r) - k| \leq 1$ . Define  $N(r + 1) = k$ , it is clear that  $N : \{1, \dots, r + 1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \#D_i\}$  is a pattern.

Finally,  $b \in d(q)_{\#D_q} \subset g^{-1}(\frac{N(\#D_q)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(\#D_q)}{\#D_i}]$  implies  $N(\#D_q) = \#D_i$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $P$  be a pseudoarc from the point  $a$  to the point  $b$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $j : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for every continuous surjective function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and every  $x \in f^{-1}(0)$  there exists  $\varphi : P \rightarrow P$  homeomorphism such that  $\varphi(x) = a$  and  $|j(\varphi(t)) - f(t)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $t \in P$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of chains from the point  $a$  to the point  $b$  with  $P = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n^*$  and such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the links of  $D_n$  are connected,  $\text{mesh}(D_n) < 1/n$ , the chain  $D_{n+1}$  is crooked in  $D_n$ , and every link of  $D_{n+1}$  has its closure included in a link of  $D_n$ . Also, we can suppose without loss of generality that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $d(n+1)_1 \subset d(n)_1$ ,  $d(n+1)_{\#D_{n+1}} \subset d(n)_{\#D_n}$ ,  $d(n)_1$  is the only link of  $D_n$  which includes  $\{a\}$  and  $d(n)_{\#D_n}$  is the only link of  $D_n$  which includes  $\{b\}$ .

Choose  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\#D_i > 2/\varepsilon$ . Take any function  $j : D_i^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  verifying  $j(d(i)_k) \subset (\frac{k-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{k}{\#D_i}]$  for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \#D_i\}$  (it is easy to construct such a function).

Let  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous surjective function and  $x \in f^{-1}(0)$ , by virtue of Corollary 2.8 there exists a homeomorphism  $H : P \rightarrow P$  with  $H(a) = x$  and  $H(b) \in f^{-1}(1 - 1/\#D_i, 1]$ . Let  $g : \overline{D_1^*} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any continuous extension of  $f \circ H$ ,  $g$  verifies  $g(a) = 0$  and  $g(b) > 1 - 1/\#D_i$ .

Let  $N$  and  $q$  be as in Lemma 3.3. By Theorem 2.6, there exist  $t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_1 \geq i$ , and a chain  $C_1$  from  $a$  to  $b$  which is a consolidation of  $D_{t_1}$  and follows the pattern  $N$  in  $D_i$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $D_{t_1+1}$  is crooked in  $C_1$ . Taking into account the construction of the pseudoarc we have made and the properties of  $C_1$ , we can deduce  $d(t_1+1)_1 \subset c(1)_1$  and  $d(t_1+1)_{\#D_{t_1+1}} \subset c(1)_{\#C_1}$ . Thus, there exists a pattern  $N'$  which  $D_{t_1+1}$  follows in  $C_1$  and such that  $N'(1) = 1$ ,  $N'(\#D_{t_1+1}) = \#C_1 = \#D_q$ . By Theorem 2.6, there exist  $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_1 \geq q$ , and a chain  $B_2$  which is a consolidation of  $D_{s_1}$  and follows the pattern  $N'$  in  $D_q$ . Carrying on inductively, we get to the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 g & \xrightarrow{N} & B_1 (= D_q) & \longleftarrow & B_2 (\succ D_{s_1}) & \longleftarrow & B_3 (= D_{s_1+1}) \longleftarrow \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 D_i & \longleftarrow & C_1 (\succ D_{t_1}) & \longleftarrow & C_2 (= D_{t_1+1}) & \longleftarrow & C_3 (\succ D_{t_2}) & \longleftarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows indicate the direction in which patterns are induced, an expression like  $B_2 \longleftarrow D_{s_1+1}$  indicates that the chain  $D_{s_1+1}$  is crooked in  $B_2$ , and one such as  $C_3 (\succ D_{t_2})$  means that  $C_3$  is a consolidation of  $D_{t_2}$ .

As well as the facts explicitly stated in the figure, we should note that  $\#B_n = \#C_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n^* = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n^*$  and  $(\text{mesh}(B_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\text{mesh}(C_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are sequences convergent to zero.

Now we shall construct a homeomorphism  $\psi : P \rightarrow P$ . Given  $x \in P$ , there exist a sequence of natural numbers  $(r_1, r_2, \dots)$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b(n)_{r_n}$ . We define the image of  $x$  by  $\psi$  by means of  $\{\psi(x)\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c(n)_{r_n}$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\psi$  is well defined and bijective. To see that  $\psi$  is continuous, let  $x_0 \in P$  and  $V$  be an open subset of  $P$  which contains  $\{x_0\}$ . Let  $(r_1, r_2, \dots)$  be a sequence of natural numbers such that  $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b(n)_{r_n}$ . There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $r$  with  $\psi(x_0) \in c(n)_r$  we have  $c(n)_r \cap P \subset V$ . Take  $U = b(n)_{r_n} \cap P$ ,  $x_0 \in U$  and for each  $x \in U$ , we have  $\psi(x) \in c(n)_{r_n} \cap P$ , therefore  $\psi(U) \subset V$ .

Besides, let us see that  $\psi$  verifies, relative to  $g$ , the required inequality. Let  $t \in P$ . Take  $(r_1, r_2, \dots)$  sequence of natural numbers such that  $\{t\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b(n)_{r_n}$ , and thus  $\{\psi(t)\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c(n)_{r_n}$ . In particular,  $\psi(t) \in c(1)_{r_1}$  for some  $r_1 \in \{1, \dots, \#C_1\} = \{1, \dots, \#D_q\}$ . By the previous lemma,  $b(1)_{r_1} = d(q)_{r_1} \subset g^{-1}(\frac{N(r_1)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(r_1)}{\#D_i}]$ . So  $g(t) \in g(b(1)_{r_1}) \subset (\frac{N(r_1)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(r_1)}{\#D_i}]$  and, on the other hand, as  $C_1$  follows the pattern  $N$  in  $D_i$ , we have

$c(1)_{r_1} \subset d(i)_{N(r_1)}$ , which implies  $j(\psi(t)) \in j(c(1)_{r_1}) \subset (\frac{N(r_1)-2}{\#D_i}, \frac{N(r_1)}{\#D_i}]$ . We deduce that  $|j(\psi(t)) - g(t)| < \frac{2}{\#D_i} < \varepsilon$ .

It is also clear that  $\psi(a) = a$ . Finally, take  $\varphi = \psi \circ H^{-1}$ .  $\square$

The map  $j$  that appears in the previous theorem could be constructed being continuous, but it is not necessary.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $L$  is a locally compact, noncompact Hausdorff space such that  $\hat{L}$  is the pseudoarc then  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  is almost transitive.*

**Proof.** We have already seen that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  admits almost polar decompositions, next we shall prove that it is almost positive transitive. Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $f(L) \cup g(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ . By the previous theorem, there exist a map  $j: \hat{L} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and two homeomorphisms  $\varphi_f, \varphi_g: \hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{L}$  such that  $\varphi_f(\infty) = \varphi_g(\infty)$  and for every  $t \in \hat{L}$ , we have  $|j(\varphi_f(t)) - f(t)| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|j(\varphi_g(t)) - g(t)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Let us observe that  $\sigma: L \rightarrow L$  given by  $\sigma(t) = \varphi_f^{-1}(\varphi_g(t))$  is a well-defined homeomorphism. Let  $T: \mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  be the surjective linear isometry given by  $Th = h \circ \sigma$ , for every  $t \in L$ , we have  $|Tf(t) - g(t)| = |f(\varphi_f^{-1}(\varphi_g(t))) - g(t)| \leq |f(\varphi_f^{-1}(\varphi_g(t))) - j(\varphi_f(\varphi_f^{-1}(\varphi_g(t))))| + |j(\varphi_g(t)) - g(t)| < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Final remarks

The author has recently known that the same counterexample to Wood’s conjecture has been independently given by Kawamura [9], however his proof and the path leading to the results are substantially different to the ones followed here. As a consequence, the necessary conditions stated in Theorem 2.3 do not appear in [9].

We have obtained essentially one counterexample, since by the homogeneity of the pseudoarc, if  $L$  and  $L'$  are locally compact, noncompact Hausdorff spaces such that  $\hat{L}$  and  $\hat{L}'$  are the pseudoarc then  $L$  is homeomorphic to  $L'$ .

Anyway, we can easily deduce the existence of another counterexample from the results already mentioned. By Proposition 1.2, there exists a locally compact Hausdorff space  $L$  with more than one point and such that  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  is transitive. Moreover, in [7] it is also proved that such  $L$  cannot be first countable; therefore, it is not metrizable.

As Theorem 2.3 gives us some restrictions on  $L$ , it is not too crazy to ask for a topological characterization of the locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $L$  such that  $\hat{L}$  is metrizable and  $\mathcal{C}_0(L, \mathbb{C})$  is almost transitive.

Perhaps a good starting point to look for another metrizable counterexample would be the pseudocircle, which is a topological space closely related to the pseudoarc (for example, every proper subcontinuum of the pseudocircle is homeomorphic to the pseudoarc).



## Acknowledgments

The author thanks Professors Antonio Aizpuru and Michel Smith, and friend Óscar Aragón for their generous help.

## References

- [1] J. Becerra-Guerrero, A. Rodríguez-Palacios, Transitivity of the norm on Banach spaces having a Jordan structure, *Manuscripta Math.* 102 (2000) 111–127.
- [2] R.H. Bing, A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum, *Duke Math. J.* 15 (1948) 729–742.
- [3] F. Cabello, Transitivity of  $M$ -spaces and Wood's conjecture, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 124 (1998) 513–520.
- [4] F. Cabello, Nearly variants of properties and ultrapowers, *Glasg. Math. J.* 42 (2000) 275–281.
- [5] F. Cabello, The covering dimension of Wood spaces, *Glasg. Math. J.* 44 (2002) 311–316.
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] P. Greim, M. Rajagopalan, Almost transitivity in  $C_0(L)$ , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 121 (1997) 75–80.
- [8] V.I. Gurarij, Spaces of universal placement, isotropic spaces and a problem of Mazur on rotations of Banach spaces, *Sibirsk. Math. Z.* 7 (1966) 1002–1013 (in Russian).
- [9] K. Kawamura, On a conjecture of Wood, *Glasg. Math. J.* 47 (2005) 1–5.
- [10] W. Lewis, The pseudo-arc, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3) 5 (1999) 25–77.
- [11] W. Lusky, Every separable  $L_1$ -predual is complemented in a  $C^*$ -algebra, *Studia Math.* 160 (2004) 103–116.
- [12] J. Margalef Roig, E. Outerelo Domínguez, J.L. Pinilla Ferrando, *Topología*, vol. 5, Alhambra, 1982 (in Spanish).
- [13] J.C. Navarro Pascual, Estructura extremal de la bola unidad en espacios de Banach (Extremal structure of the unit ball in Banach spaces), doctoral thesis, University of Granada, 1994 (in Spanish).
- [14] G.V. Wood, Maximal symmetry in Banach spaces, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* 82A (1982) 177–186.