



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Nonlinear Analysis 59 (2004) 335–345

**Nonlinear
Analysis**

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

On distributions independent of x_N in certain non-cylindrical domains and a de Rham lemma with a non-local constraint

F. Ortegón Gallego*

CASEM, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Cádiz, 11510 Puerto Real, Spain

Received 22 March 2004; accepted 19 July 2004

Abstract

We study distributions not depending on the x_N -variable in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. It is assumed that Ω may be described through a very general function $D: \omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is any open set. We give a representation theorem for this kind of distributions and show how they are related to distributions defined in ω . A direct application of this theorem is the derivation of a de Rham-like lemma with a non-local constraint. These results can be applied to the analysis of hydrostatic approximation of Navier–Stokes equations.

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Distributions; Non-local constraint; Hydrostatic approximation; de Rham's lemma; Sobolev spaces

1. Introduction

A distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is said to be independent of x_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, if $\partial F / \partial x_i = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The structure of distributions independent of one of the variables is well-known since the time they were introduced by Schwartz at the end of the 1940s [12]. Indeed, if $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ does not depend on, say, the last variable x_N , then there exists $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ such that $F = S \otimes \mathbf{1}_{x_N}$, i.e. for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$,

* Tel.: +34-956-016056; fax: +34-956-016050.

E-mail address: francisco.ortegon@uca.es (F. Ortegón Gallego).

and $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})\psi(x_N)$, the following equality holds:

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \langle S, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x_N) dx_N$$

and thus, according to Fubini’s theorem for distributions, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ one has

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left\langle S, \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) dx_N \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}.$$

This expression also applies to open cylinders $\Omega = \omega \times (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is an open domain and $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$: if $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ does not depend on $x_N \in (a, b)$, then there exists $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ such that, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, one has [8]

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \left\langle S, \int_a^b \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) dx_N \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega)\mathcal{D}(\omega)}. \tag{1}$$

We observe that expression (1) relates a distribution F in the cylinder Ω with a distribution S defined on the base of the cylinder ω under the only assumption that F is independent of the variable describing the axis of the cylinder.

The goal of this paper is to give a version of this result to a more general class of open sets, i.e.

$$\Omega = \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N / x' \in \omega, -D(x') < x_N < 0\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is an arbitrary open domain, $D: \omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$, and $D(x') > 0$, for all $x' \in \omega$, and to apply it to the derivation of a de Rham-like lemma which characterizes all distributions vanishing on the space $\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{V}(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1} / \nabla' \cdot \left(\int_{-D(x')}^0 \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \right) = 0 \text{ in } \omega \right\}, \tag{3}$$

where ∇' is the divergence operator with respect to the variables x_1 to x_{N-1} . This kind of results can be applied in the study of some mathematical models arising in oceanography, for instance, in the analysis of the hydrostatic approximation of Navier–Stokes equations [9,10]; in this case, $N = 3$, Ω stands for a portion of the ocean or lake, $\omega \times \{0\}$ is the sea surface, and D is the depth function describing the bathymetry of the sea bottom.

2. The representation theorem

We consider $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ an arbitrary open domain, and a measurable function $D: \omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ as defined in (2) is open. We will make the following assumption on D :

$$D(x') > 0 \text{ in } \omega, \text{ and for every compact set } K \subset \omega, \text{ess inf}_K D > 0. \tag{4}$$

Remark. In this setting, the function D need not be continuous in ω . Indeed, it may have jumps along some curves in ω .

Remark. Notice that if $\text{ess inf}_\omega D > 0$, then Ω has a sidewall all along $\partial\omega$. Under this additional assumption, the analysis below simplifies. This was the case considered in [9] in the analysis of the functional spaces involved in the study of the hydrostatic approximation. Observe that (4) does not exclude the case $\text{ess inf}_\omega D = 0$, leading to more general situations.

Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Owing to Fubini’s theorem, the function

$$x' \in \omega \mapsto \int_{-D(x')}^0 u(x', x_N) dx_N$$

is defined almost everywhere in ω and belongs to $L^1(\omega)$. This allows us to introduce the operator $M: L^1(\Omega) \mapsto L^1(\omega)$:

$$M(u)(x') = \int_{-D(x')}^0 u(x', x_N) dx_N. \tag{5}$$

Obviously, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega) / \text{supp } \phi \text{ is compact in } \Omega\}$, then we have $M(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$.

Now we are ready to state the representation theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume hypothesis (4). Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and $\partial F / \partial x_N = 0$.
- (2) *There exists a unique distribution $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ such that*

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \langle S, M(\varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \tag{6}$$

Proof. First assume assertion 2. It is straightforward that expression (6) defines a distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. To see that $\partial F / \partial x_N = 0$, observe that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ one has $M(\partial\phi / \partial x_N) = 0$ in ω . Consequently, (6) gives

$$0 = \left\langle F, \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_N} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = - \left\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N}, \phi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)},$$

i.e. $\partial F / \partial x_N = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

The property $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is the tricky part, so we divide its proof into three steps.

Step 1: There exists $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ such that for any compact set $K \subset \omega$

$$\begin{cases} \langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \langle S, M(\varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}, & \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \\ \text{with } \text{supp } \varphi \subset \overset{\circ}{K} \times (-\delta_K, 0), & \delta_K = \text{ess inf}_K D > 0 \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

(here on, $\overset{\circ}{B}$ stands for the interior of a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$).

Let $K \subset \omega$ be a compact set. Consider $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ and $a \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset \overset{\circ}{K}$ and $\text{supp } a \subset (-\delta_K, 0)$. Thus, the function $\phi(x', x_N) = a(x_N)\psi(x')$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. We fix ψ and change a ; then, the linear mapping $a \mapsto \langle F, a\psi \rangle$ is a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(-\delta_K, 0)$,

which is constant since F does not depend upon x_N . Noting this constant as $S_K(\psi)$ we have

$$\langle F, a\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{-\delta_K}^0 a(x_N) dx_N \right) S_K(\psi).$$

Now, we fix a with $\int_{-\delta_K}^0 a(x_N) dx_N \neq 0$, and change ψ . This yields $S_K \in \mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{K})$, i.e.

$$\langle F, a\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{-\delta_K}^0 a(x_N) dx_N \right) \langle S_K, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{K}), \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K})}.$$

We can then apply Fubini’s theorem for distributions [12]: for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \overset{\circ}{K} \times (-\delta_K, 0)$ we have

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \left\langle S_K, \left(\int_{-\delta_K}^0 \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{K}), \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K})}.$$

It is easy to check that if $K' \subset K$ then $S_K|_{\mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{K}')} = S_{K'}$, this allows us to introduce the distribution $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ defined by $\langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)} = \langle S_K, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{K}), \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K})}$, where it is assumed that $\text{supp } \psi \subset \overset{\circ}{K}$, and this gives (7).

Step 2: Proof of (6).

By repeating the same arguments given above, we easily find that (6) holds true whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K} \times (a, b))$, where $\overset{\circ}{K} \times (a, b) \subset \Omega$, $K \subset \omega$ is a compact set and $-\|D\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < a < b < 0$ (the case $\|D\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} = +\infty$ being not excluded).

Now, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and consider the family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ of open cylinders in Ω given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{B(x'; r) \times (a, b) \subset \Omega/x' \in \omega, r > 0, -\|D\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < a < b < 0\},$$

where $B(x'; r)$ stands for the open ball of ω centered at x' with radius r . Since $\Omega = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$, \mathcal{F} is an open covering of the compact set $\text{supp } \varphi$; thus $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^J \Omega_j$, where $\Omega_j = B(x'_j; r_j) \times (a_j, b_j) \in \mathcal{F}$, for some $J \geq 1$, $x'_j \in \omega$, $r_j > 0$ and $-\|D\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < a_j < b_j < 0$. We also put $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \text{supp } \varphi$. To the finite subfamily $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=0}^J$ we associate a partition of unity of Ω , $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^J$, i.e. [13]:

- (1) $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $j = 0, \dots, J$;
- (2) $\text{supp } \alpha_j \subset \Omega_j$, $j = 0, \dots, J$;
- (3) $\sum_{j=0}^J \alpha_j = 1$ in Ω .

Notice that $\alpha_0\varphi = 0$ in Ω . Consequently, by applying expression (6) in every set Ω_j , $j = 1, \dots, J$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} &= \sum_{j=0}^J \langle F, \alpha_j \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^J \langle F, \alpha_j \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \sum_{j=1}^J \langle S, M(\alpha_j \varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^J \langle S, M(\alpha_j \varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)} = \langle S, M(\varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: The distribution S is unique.

Let $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ be two distributions satisfying (6), and put $S = S_1 - S_2$. Then, $\langle S, M(\varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)} = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Take $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ and $a \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } a \subset (-\delta, 0)$, $\delta = \text{ess inf}_{\text{supp } \psi} D$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x_N) dx_N = 1$. Thus, $a\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $M(a\psi) = \psi$ and

$$0 = \langle S, M(a\psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)} = \langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$$

and therefore $S = 0$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Now, we study the regularity of S with respect to F . To do that, we introduce the usual first-order Sobolev spaces $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\begin{cases} W^{1,q}(\Omega) = \{v \in L^q(\Omega) / \nabla v \in L^q(\Omega)^N\}, & 1 \leq q \leq +\infty, \\ W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}, & 1 \leq q < +\infty, \\ W^{-1,q'}(\Omega) = \text{dual space of } W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), & 1/q + 1/q' = 1, \\ H^1(\Omega) = W^{1,2}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where $\nabla v = (\partial v / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial v / \partial x_N)^T$ is the gradient operator, all derivatives being taken in the sense of distributions. It is well-known that $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces provided with their standard norms, and also $H^1(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces [1].

Lemma 1. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ satisfy (6). Assume $F \in W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$. Then

$$S \in W^{-1,q'}(\overset{\circ}{K}) \quad \text{for every compact set } K \subset \omega. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if ω is bounded in some direction and $\text{ess inf}_{\omega} D > 0$, then $S \in W^{-1,q'}(\omega)$.

Proof. Let $K \subset \omega$ be a compact set, $\delta_K = \text{ess inf}_K D > 0$. Take $a \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } a \subset (-\delta_K, 0)$ and $\int_{-\delta_K}^0 a(x_N) dx_N = 1$. According to (6), for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$, $\text{supp } \psi \subset \overset{\circ}{K}$, we have

$$\langle F, a\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = \langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K})$$

whence,

$$|\langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}| \leq \|F\|_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)} \|a\psi\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|a\psi\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q \leq \int_{\Omega} |a \nabla \psi|^q + \int_{\Omega} |a' \psi|^q \leq \|a\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^q \left(\int_{\overset{\circ}{K}} |\nabla \psi|^q + \int_{\overset{\circ}{K}} |\psi|^q \right)$$

and owing to Poincaré’s inequality, we finally deduce

$$|\langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega), \mathcal{D}(\omega)}| \leq C_K \| \psi \|_{W_0^{1,q}(\overset{\circ}{K})}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K}),$$

where $C_K = \lambda_K \|a\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|F\|_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)}$ (λ_K is a constant coming from Poincaré’s inequality in $\overset{\circ}{K}$). From a density argument, we obtain the desired regularity $S \in W^{-1,q'}(\overset{\circ}{K})$.

Finally, if ω is bounded in some direction, then the constants λ_K may be taken independent of K and if we also assume that $\text{ess inf}_{\omega} D > 0$, then the function a can be taken independent of K . These two facts give directly the global regularity $S \in W^{-1,q'}(\omega)$.

Remark. Let $m \geq 2$ integer and put

$$\begin{cases} W^{m,q}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{m-1,q}(\Omega) / \nabla v \in W^{m-1,q}(\Omega)^N\}, & 1 \leq q \leq +\infty, \\ W_0^{m,q}(\Omega) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{W^{m,q}(\Omega)}, & 1 \leq q < +\infty, \\ W^{-m,q'}(\Omega) = \text{dual space of } W_0^{m,q}(\Omega), & 1/q + 1/q' = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is very easy to check the following generalization of Lemma 1: If $F \in W^{-m,q'}(\Omega)$ then

$$S \in W^{-m,q'}(\overset{\circ}{K}) \quad \text{for every compact set } K \subset \omega$$

and if ω is bounded in some direction and $\text{ess inf}_{\omega} D > 0$, then $S \in W^{-m,q'}(\omega)$.

3. Some applications of the representation theorem

There are some mathematical models in which the unknown $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, Ω like in (2), is subject to a non-local constraint of the form $\nabla' \cdot M(u) = 0$ in ω , where $M(u)$ is given in (5), and the operator ∇' refers to the $(N - 1)$ -gradient operator with respect to the $N - 1$ first variables x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} , and so $\nabla' \cdot v = 0$ is the divergence with respect to these $N - 1$ variables. Though this is a non-local constraint, it is still linear and, therefore, it is quite natural to search for solutions of such models in some space of the form $\{v \in X / \nabla' \cdot M(u) = 0 \text{ in } \omega\}$, where X is a suitable Banach space. The hydrostatic approximation of Navier–Stokes equations is an example of such a model [3–6,9–11,14]. The hydrostatic approximation is a general model arising in oceanography for the description of the circulation of water in oceans and lakes. Taking into account only the essential unknowns, i.e. the horizontal velocity field $u: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ and the surface pressure $p_s: \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, the model, at

climatic time scales, becomes

$$\begin{cases} (u \cdot \nabla')u + W(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} - v_1 \Delta' u - v_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3^2} + \gamma u^\perp + \nabla' p_s = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla' \cdot M(u) = 0 & \text{in } \omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b, \quad v_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = g_s & \text{on } \Gamma_s. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Here $N = 3$, $W(u)$ represents the vertical velocity. It is given by

$$W(u)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_{x_3}^0 \nabla' \cdot u(x_1, x_2, \zeta) \, d\zeta.$$

The constants $v_1 > 0$ and $v_2 > 0$ are the horizontal and vertical viscosity coefficients, respectively (in practice $v_2 \ll v_1$). Also, γu^\perp stands for the Coriolis acceleration term, γ being a function depending upon the angular velocity of the earth and the latitude, whereas $u^\perp = (u_2, -u_1)^T$. The boundary of Ω is split into two parts,

$$\partial\Omega = \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma_b, \quad \Gamma_s = \omega \times \{0\}, \quad \Gamma_b = \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_s,$$

so that Γ_s is the sea surface and Γ_b is the bottom basin together with (possible) sidewalls or taluses. The right-hand side f is a forcing term taking into account the effects of salinity, density or temperature, which are considered here decoupled from the governing equations of the flow (9). Finally, g_s is the wind stress.

As one can readily see, the natural space to search for the horizontal velocity field u is

$$V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega)^2 / v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b, \nabla' \cdot M(v) = 0 \text{ in } \omega\},$$

which yields the following regularity for the vertical convection term [4,7,10]:

$$W(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} \in W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^2, \quad \text{for all } q' < 2.$$

Consequently, if the data are smooth enough, e.g. $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^2$ and $g_s \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_s)^2$, one may expect the regularity $p_s \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$, for all $q' < 2$ [6,10]. Indeed, putting

$$F = f - (u \cdot \nabla')u - W(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} + v_1 \Delta' u + v_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3^2} - \gamma u^\perp,$$

it can be shown that $F \in W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^2$ for all $q' < 2$ and

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^2, W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^2} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega),$$

where $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ is defined in (3). Therefore, in order to retrieve the surface pressure term $\nabla' p_s$, we have to show that p_s is the Lagrange multiplier related to the non-local constraint $\nabla' \cdot M(\varphi) = 0$ in ω . This is equivalent to the derivation of a de Rham-like lemma involving this non-local constraint. The next result gives the answer to this question. It is a generalization of the one given in [9] to more general domains Ω , without the assumption on the existence of a sidewall all along $\partial\omega$, together with a non-Hilbert setting.

We will make use of the space $L_D^{q'}(\omega)$, $1 < q' < +\infty$, given as

$$L_D^{q'}(\omega) = \left\{ h: \omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\omega} D|h|^{q'} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Observe that $h \in L_D^{q'}(\omega)$ if and only if $\partial h / \partial x_N = 0$ and $h \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$ (in this context, h as defined in Ω is understood as the function $(x', x_N) \in \Omega \mapsto h(x')$).

Lemma 2. *Let ω be a connected and bounded set in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , $D \in L^\infty(\omega)$ satisfying (4), and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ as given in (2). Let $F \in W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^{N-1}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^{N-1}, W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^{N-1}} = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$.
- (2) F does not depend upon the x_N -variable and there exists $p_s \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q'}(\omega)$ (unique up to an additive constant) such that $F = \nabla' p_s$. Moreover,
 - (a) If ω is Lipschitz continuous and $\text{ess inf}_{\omega} D > 0$ then $p_s \in L^{q'}(\omega)$.
 - (b) If Ω is Lipschitz continuous then $p_s \in L_D^{q'}(\omega)$.

Proof. $2 \Rightarrow 1$. Let $p_s \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q'}(\omega)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$, then $p_s \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q'}(\Omega)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla' p_s, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^{N-1}, W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^{N-1}} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} p_s \nabla' \cdot \varphi = - \int_{\omega} p_s \int_{-D(x')}^0 \nabla' \cdot \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$, we have in particular $\varphi = 0$ near $\Gamma_b = \partial\Omega \setminus \omega \times \{0\}$, which yields

$$\int_{-D(x')}^0 \nabla' \cdot \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N = \nabla' \cdot \left(\int_{-D(x')}^0 \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \right) = \nabla' \cdot M(\varphi) = 0$$

and thus

$$\langle \nabla' p_s, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^{N-1}, W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^{N-1}} = 0.$$

$1 \Rightarrow 2$. First of all, we show that F is independent of x_N . To do that we follow [9]: observe that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}$ one has $\nabla' \cdot M(\phi) = M(\nabla' \cdot \phi)$. Now let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}$, then $M(\partial\phi/\partial x_N) = 0$ in ω so that $\partial\phi/\partial x_N \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$; consequently,

$$0 = \left\langle F, \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_N} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N}, \phi \right\rangle,$$

i.e. $\partial F / \partial x_N = 0$. This means that the $N - 1$ components of F are under the conditions of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1: there exists a unique $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^{N-1}$ satisfying (6) and (8).

Now, we show the following assertion: There exists $p_s \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q'}(\omega)$, uniquely determined up to an additive constant, such that $S = \nabla' p_s$. To do that, we consider the increasing

sequence of compact sets

$$C_m = \left\{ x' \in \omega / \text{dist}(x', \partial\omega) \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}, \quad m \geq m_0,$$

where $m_0 \geq 1$ is chosen so that $C_m \neq \emptyset$ and is connected (this is possible since ω is connected). Since C_m may not bear a regular boundary, we consider another sequence of compact sets $(K_m)_{m \geq m_0}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} C_m \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_m \subset C_{m+1}, \text{ and} \\ K_m \text{ is connected and Lipschitz-continuous, for all } m \geq m_0. \end{cases}$$

For every $m \geq m_0$, we take ψ , $\text{supp } \psi \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_m$, then a as in the proof of Lemma 1, and assume also that $\nabla' \cdot \psi = 0$ in ω . Then, $a\psi \in \mathcal{V}'(\Omega)$ and owing to (6) and the hypothesis on F , we have

$$0 = \langle F, a\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}} = \langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\omega)^{N-1}}$$

and consequently,

$$\langle S, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\omega)^{N-1}} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{K})^{N-1}, \text{ such that } \nabla' \cdot \psi = 0 \text{ in } \overset{\circ}{K}.$$

We can then apply de Rham’s lemma to $S \in W^{-1,q'}(\overset{\circ}{K}_m)^{N-1}$ [2]: there exists a function $p_m \in L^{q'}(\overset{\circ}{K}_m)$ such that $S = \nabla' p_m$ in $\overset{\circ}{K}_m$. Since K_m is connected, this p_m is uniquely determined up to an additive constant, and we can choose it so that $p_m = p_{m+1}$ in $\overset{\circ}{K}_m$ for all $m \geq m_0$. This allows us to define $p_s \in L^{q'}_{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ so that $p_s = p_m$ in $\overset{\circ}{K}_m$ and clearly $S = \nabla' p_s$ in ω .

Now let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}$; owing to (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}} &= \langle \nabla' p_s, M(\varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\omega)^{N-1}} \\ &= - \int_{\omega} p_s \nabla' \cdot \left(\int_{-D(x')}^0 \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \right) \\ &= - \int_{\omega} p_s \int_{-D(x')}^0 \nabla' \cdot \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \\ &= - \int_{\omega} \int_{-D(x')}^0 p_s \nabla' \cdot \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} p_s \nabla' \cdot \varphi(x', x_N) dx_N = \langle \nabla' p_s, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^{N-1}, \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{N-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $F = \nabla' p_s$ in the sense of distributions.

In order to show 2(a), observe that if $\text{ess inf}_{\omega} D > 0$ then, according to Lemma 1, $S \in W^{-1,q'}(\omega)^{N-1}$. Therefore, $p_s \in L^{q'}_{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ is such that $S = \nabla' p_s \in W^{-1,q'}(\omega)^{N-1}$; and since we also assume that ω is a connected, bounded and Lipschitz-continuous set, we deduce the global regularity $p_s \in L^{q'}(\omega)$ [2].

Finally, assume that Ω is Lipschitz continuous. Since $p_s \in L^{q'}_{loc}(\omega)$, it is straightforward that $p_s \in L^{q'}_{loc}(\Omega)$. This property together with $\nabla' p_s = F \in W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)^{N-1}$ and the regularity of Ω implies that [2] $p_s \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$, and since p_s does not depend upon x_N , this is equivalent to $p_s \in L^{q'}_D(\omega)$. This shows 2(b) and ends the proof of Lemma 2. \square

Remark. If ω is Lipschitz continuous and Ω has a sidewall all along $\partial\omega$, i.e. $\text{ess inf}_\omega D > 0$, then Lemma 2 tells us that $p_s \in L^{q'}(\omega)$, and this is true even if Ω is not Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, Lemma 2 is a generalization of the result appearing in [9] where it was assumed that $q' = 2$ and Ω is Lipschitz continuous.

Remark. In the case of a domain Ω that is Lipschitz continuous (with or without a sidewall), Lemma 2 is equivalent to the following reduced inf-sup condition: there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\|p\|_{L^{q'}_{D,0}(\omega)} \leq \beta \sup_{v \in W^{1,q}_0(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\omega p \nabla \cdot M(v)}{\|v\|_{W^{1,q}_0(\Omega)}}, \quad \text{for all } p \in L^{q'}_{D,0},$$

where $L^{q'}_{D,0} = \{g \in L^{q'}_D(\omega) / \int_\omega Dg = 0\}$. This reduced inf-sup condition was already shown in [6], and its proof is based in the application of a version of de Rham’s lemma in Ω . Notice that we have applied de Rham’s lemma in ω after deriving the relation (6) linking a distribution in Ω with one in ω .

Remark. The results described in this work have been applied in [10] in the study of a modified version of the hydrostatic approximation: the differential equations for the horizontal velocity are perturbed with certain monotone expressions; this approach has led to another proof of the existence of a solution to problem (9), and to analyze a one-equation hydrostatic turbulence model.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología and FEDER funds, under DGICYT grant BFM2003-01187, and by Junta de Andalucía, research group FQM-315.

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] C. Amrouche, V. Girault, Decomposition of vector spaces and application to the Stokes problem in arbitrary dimension, Czech. Math. J. 44 (119) (1994) 109–140.
- [3] P. Azérad, F. Guillén González, Équations de Navier–Stokes en bassin peu profond: l’approximation hydrostatique, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I 329 (1999) 961–966.
- [4] O. Besson, M.R. Laydi, Some estimates for the anisotropic Navier–Stokes equations and for the hydrostatic approximation, Modél. Math. Anal. Numér. 26 (1992) 855–865.
- [5] T. Chacón Rebollo, E. Chacón Vera, R. Lewandowski, Analysis of the hydrostatic approximation in oceanography with convection term, Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 34 (3) (2000) 525–537.

- [6] T. Chacón Rebollo, F. Guillén González, An intrinsic analysis of existence of solutions for the hydrostatic approximation of Navier–Stokes equations, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* 330 (2000) 841–846.
- [7] F. Guillén González, N. Masmoudi, R. Bellido, Anisotropic estimates and strong solutions of the primitive equations, *Differential Integral Equations* 14 (11) (2001) 1381–1408.
- [8] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [9] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang, On the equations of large scale ocean, *Nonlinearity* 5 (1992) 1007–1053.
- [10] F. Ortegón Gallego, Regularization by monotone perturbations of the hydrostatic approximation of Navier–Stokes equations, *mathematical models and methods in Applied sciences* 1 (15), to appear.
- [11] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1951.
- [13] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
- [14] E.A.H. Zuur, The use of a reformulation of the hydrostatic approximation Navier–Stokes equations for geophysical fluid problems, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 21 (1995) 549–570.