

Slumping and a sandbar deposit at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the El Tecolote section (northeastern Mexico): An impact-induced sediment gravity flow

COMMENT

Gerta Keller

Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

Wolfgang Stinnesbeck

Geologischches Institute, University of Karlsruhe, 75128 Karlsruhe, Germany

Thierry Adatte

Geological Institute, University of Neuchatel, CH-2007 Neuchatel, Switzerland

Soria et al. (2001) report on slump features in a spherule deposit of the El Tecolote outcrop in northeastern Mexico and interpret this as evidence of widespread destabilization and collapse of the continental margin as a result of the Chicxulub impact. They further conclude that multiple spherule layers in northeastern Mexico are therefore likely slump induced. Though unattributed, the reference is to the recent discovery of three to four spherule layers in the late Maastrichtian Méndez Formation of northeastern Mexico (Stinnesbeck et al., 2001), which are interpreted as one or more latest Maastrichtian impact events, or a pre-Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) impact followed by repeated reworking of the original spherule deposit.

Soria et al.'s database consists of two closely spaced sections in the La Sierrita area, which they call El Tecolote. Our database in the same area includes over 20 stratigraphic sections, including El Tecolote (also called Mesa Juan Pérez), and an additional 17 sections to the southeast (Los dos Plebes, La Sierrita, Loma Cerca). This extensive database leads us to dismiss the collapsed margin hypothesis, as well as a Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary age for the spherule layers, as unsupported for the following reasons.

(1) The originally discovered spherule layer (unit 1) in northeastern Mexico is at the base of a thick siliciclastic deposit that was interpreted to be the result of the Chicxulub impact-generated megatsunami (Smit et al., 1996). The K-T boundary is invariably above this deposit, either immediately above it or above a 10–30-cm-thick marl layer that contains the planktic foraminiferal *Plummerita hantkeninoides* (CF1) zone assemblage that spans the last 300 000 k.y. of the Maastrichtian (Keller et al., 1997). In addition, various horizons of bioturbation have been documented within the siliciclastic deposit that indicate that deposition occurred over an extended time period with repeated colonization of the ocean floor by invertebrates (Keller et al., 1997; Ekdale and Stinnesbeck, 1998). These data point to long-term deposition preceding the K-T boundary and are incompatible with a K-T age for the spherule unit 1, a K-T impact-generated tsunami interpretation (Smit et al., 1996), or Soria et al.'s complex scenario of slumping and margin collapse "after the ejecta's deposition and before the sandy unit deposition" (p. 233) by the arrival of the impact-generated megatsunami.

(2) We discovered as many as three additional spherule layers in over 37 sections of the La Sierrita and El Peñón areas where they are interbedded with up to 9 m of late Maastrichtian zone CF1 marls below the spherule layer at the base of the siliciclastic deposit (Stinnesbeck et al., 2001). The number of spherule layers present depends on outcrop exposure, completeness of the sections, or seafloor topography and deposition occurring within the last 300 000 k.y. of the Maastrichtian.

Soria et al. agree that the multiple spherule layers in the El Tecolote sections are within late Maastrichtian marls, but interpret them as the result of slumps that interjected the original K-T age spherule layer.

(3) Among over 37 sections spanning over 50 km of northwest-southeast-trending hills, only rare, small-scale, 1–3 m slumps were observed, like those described by Soria et al. Moreover, the two small slumps at El Tecolote are within a spherule layer and are not connected with the spherule layers above or below, and there is no continuity of these slumps between the two sections, contrary to the misleading depiction in Figure 1 of Soria et al. In fact, immediately adjacent strata to the El Tecolote slump are undisturbed spherule layers within the Méndez marls that indicate that the small slumps are isolated local features not connected to the unit 1 spherule layer. Similar localized slumps have been observed at Beloc, Haiti, where they are also confined to a spherule-rich layer and undisturbed sequences are present nearby (Keller et al., 2001).

(4) Evidence against a slump origin of the multiple spherule layers is also observed in the differing lithologic characteristics. The lowermost (oldest) spherule layer consists almost entirely of closely packed devitrified vesicular spherules and altered glass fragments, with a cement of spar calcite. The frequency of eutaxitic spherule textures, concave-convex contacts, and agglutination between spherules indicates that they were still hot and ductile during transport and primary deposition (Stinnesbeck et al., 2001). In some sections, the basal spherule layer resembles a microbreccia where the dominant component consists of angular to flaser-like shards with "welding" or plastic deformation of (?hot) glass around more rigid components, such as carbonate clasts and occasional shells of benthic foraminifera. Consequently, these layers clearly differ from the unit 1 spherule layer at the base of the siliciclastic deposits that contains abundant terrigenous debris. These lithological differences cannot be explained by a slump, but suggest that the lowermost spherule layer in the Méndez marls represents the oldest ejecta deposit, whereas the overlying spherule layers are either reworked and redeposited, or represent additional ejecta events.

It is unfortunate that Soria et al. did not investigate some of the other outcrops in the area to help evaluate the El Tecolote slumps, or examine the recent publications on this topic, and it is ironic that they emphasized the need "to reexamine outcrops elsewhere with multiple exposures of spherules to test for slumps" (p. 234). Their sweeping conclusion that the El Tecolote sections provide evidence for destabilization and collapse of the continental margin due to the effects of the Chicxulub impact is unsupported by the current database.

REFERENCES CITED

- Ekdale, A.A., and Stinnesbeck, W., 1998, Trace fossils in Cretaceous-Tertiary (KT) boundary beds in northeastern Mexico: Implications for sedimentation during the KT boundary event: *Palaios*, v. 8, p. 593–602.
- Keller, G., Lopez-Oliva, J.G., Stinnesbeck, W., and Adatte, T., 1997, Age, stratigraphy and deposition of near K/T siliciclastic deposits in Mexico: Relation to bolide impact?: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 109, p. 410–428.
- Keller, G., Adatte, T., Stinnesbeck, W., Stueben, D., and Berner, Z., 2001, Age, chemo- and biostratigraphy of Haiti spherule-rich deposits: A multi-event K-T scenario: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 38, p. 197–227.
- Soria, A.R., Liesa, C.L., Mata, M.P., Arz, J.A., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., and Meléndez, A., 2001, Slumping and a sandbar deposit at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the El Tecolote section (northeastern Mexico): An impact-induced sediment gravity flow: *Geology*, v. 29, p. 231–234.
- Smit, J., Roep, T.B., Alvarez, W., Montanari, A., Claeys, P., Grajales-Nishimura,

J.M., and Bermúdez, J., 1996, Coarse-grained, clastic sandstone complex at the K/T boundary around the Gulf of Mexico: Deposition by tsunami waves induced by the Chicxulub impact: Geological Society of America Special Paper 307, p. 151–182.

Stinnesbeck, W., Schulte, P., Lindenmaier, F., Adatte, T., Affolter, M., Schilli, L., Keller, G., Stüben, D., Berner, Z., Kramar, U.J., Burns, S., and López-Oliva, J.G., 2001, Late Maastrichtian age of spherule deposits in north-eastern Mexico: Implication for Chicxulub scenario: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 38, p. 229–238.

REPLY

Ana R. Soria*

Carlos L. Liesa

Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, E-50009, Spain

Maria Pilar Mata

Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Cádiz, Puerto Real, E-11510, Spain

José A. Arz

Laia Alegret

Ignacio Arenillas

Alfonso Meléndez

Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, E-50009, Spain

In their comments, Keller et al. report three to four spherule layers in the La Sierrita area in the late Maastrichtian Méndez Formation and interpret them to be one or more latest Maastrichtian impact events, or a pre-Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) impact, followed by repeated reworking of the original spherule deposits (Stinnesbeck et al., 2001). They state that their interpretation is based on the study of 37 sections. However, this is a fallacious argument that is based on the authority status of the authors, because they have only published two sections at Loma Cerca and Mesa Juan Pérez. The latter one coincides with our north-west stratigraphic column. Keller et al. disagree with our interpretation in two main points: the K-T age of the spherule and siliciclastic complex and the collapsed continental margin hypothesis. They support their conclusions on a mistaken interpretation of the micropaleontological and sedimentological data.

(1) On the basis of the presence of *Plummerita hantkeninoides* in basal Danian sediments, they place the K-T boundary above the siliciclastic deposit. This assumption is wrong because most of the Upper Cretaceous foraminiferal specimens collected in the siliciclastic complex and basal Danian sediments, including *P. hantkeninoides* specimens, show clear evidence of reworking (Smit et al., 1996; Alegret et al., 2001; Arz et al., 2001a). In addition, biozone boundaries cannot be established on the basis of reworked specimens. These are important facts that have not been considered by Keller's group and thus have led to their persistent misinterpretation and miscalculation of the age of the siliciclastic deposit. Following the criteria used at the El Kef stratotype, the K-T boundary must be placed at the base of the siliciclastic complex in all Mexican sections (Smit et al., 1996; Arz et al., 2001a, 2001b).

Keller et al. claim that the presence of several horizons of bioturbation in the siliciclastic deposit indicate a deposition over an extended period of time. However, we have not identified any evidence of bioturbation in the El Tecolote area. We only found bioturbation in some outcrops (e.g., El Peñón), and it was always associated with the uppermost centimeters of the K-T siliciclastic unit. We agree with Smit

et al. (1996), who explain that this bioturbation is caused by Tertiary organisms.

(2) So far, different horizons of microspherules have been found only in La Sierrita and El Peñón areas, precisely where we found clear evidence for slumping processes. Moreover, in most Gulf Coast sections there is only one spherule layer at the base of the siliciclastic complex (Smit et al., 1996; Arz et al., 2001a, 2001b). In our opinion, the multiple spherule layers represent a solely spherule layer repeated and locally mixed with remobilized Maastrichtian marls (allochthonous marls) by slumping processes, partially contemporary with the sedimentation from the gravity flow. Due to the allochthony and reworking of the marls, they cannot be used in chronostratigraphic interpretations.

(3) Keller et al. disagree with our collapsed margin hypothesis because they observe three additional "normal" spherule layers, which are interbedded with Maastrichtian marls, and they consider that the slumps are few, small, and not connected with the spherule layers above or below. We want to clarify three points: (a) Our conclusion of a slumping deposit is not based solely on the presence of a single recumbent slump fold. We documented this slump just as a good example, but slumping is not a local phenomenon. The El Tecolote area provided many examples of slumps affecting essentially the spherule-bearing level and occasionally the sandy facies (Soria et al., 2001). (b) According to our field observations, the slump fold trends northeast (050°) are nearly parallel to the hillside. Only the fold limbs can normally be seen under this condition. So, topographic features can explain Keller's observation. Moreover, several minor slump-related folds, producing changes in the dip of the beds, were found following the marl-spherule layer contact, which confirms our general interpretation. (c) The lithological differences between the basal spherule layer and the unit I spherule layer at the base of the siliciclastic deposits are more plausibly explained by the selection of particles by density during transport in the gravity flow, and by differential diagenesis processes.

Our micropaleontological and sedimentological data of the clastic complex in the El Tecolote section and around the Gulf of Mexico support deposition in a short time period by a mechanism of gravity flows triggered by the K-T boundary impact (Soria et al., 2001; Alegret et al., 2001; Arz et al., 2001a, 2001b). It is not scientifically rigorous for Keller's group to infer one or more pre-K-T impacts based only on a small area which presumably contains 35 sections that have not been published.

REFERENCES CITED

- Alegret, L., Molina, E., and Thomas, E., 2001, Benthic foraminifera at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary around the Gulf of Mexico: *Geology*, v. 29, p. 891–894.
- Arz, J.A., Arenillas, I., Soria, A.R., Alegret, L., Grajales-Nishimura, J.M., Liesa, C.L., Meléndez, A., Molina, E., and Rosales, M.C., 2001a, Micropaleontology and sedimentology across the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary at La Ceiba (Mexico): Impact-generated sediment gravity flows: *Journal of South American Earth Sciences, Special Issue on the Geology of Northwestern Mexico and Adjacent Areas*, v. 14, p. 505–519.
- Arz, J.A., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Liesa, C.L., Molina, E., and Soria, A.R., 2001b, Extinción de foraminíferos en el límite Cretácico/Terciario de Coxquihui (México) y su relación con las evidencias de impacto: *Revista Española de Micropaleontología*, v. 33, p. 221–236.
- Smit, J., Roep, T.B., Alvarez, W., Montanari, A., Claeys, P., Grajales-Nishimura, J.M., and Bermúdez, J., 1996, Coarse-grained, clastic sandstone complex at the K/T boundary around the Gulf of Mexico: Deposition by tsunami waves induced by the Chicxulub impact?: *Geological Society of America Special Paper 307*, p. 151–182.
- Soria, A.R., Liesa, C.L., Mata, M.P., Arz, J.A., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., and Meléndez, A., 2001, Slumping and a sandbar deposit at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the El Tecolote section (northeastern Mexico): An impact-induced sediment gravity flow: *Geology*, v. 29, p. 231–234.
- Stinnesbeck, W., Schulte, P., Lindenmaier, F., Adatte, T., Affolter, M., Schilli, L., Keller, G., Stüben, D., Berner, Z., Kramar, U., Burns, S.J., and López-Oliva, J.G., 2001, Late Maastrichtian age of spherule deposits in north-eastern Mexico: Implication for Chicxulub scenario: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 38, p. 229–238.

*E-mail: anasoria@posta.unizar.es.